

2

Defendant.

94-AR-1566-M

**ENTERED**

DEC 20 2000

2

188

Defendant presented proof, and the court finds, that the notices required to be published by the court's order of November 2, 2000, were, in fact, published, so that the members of the plaintiff class received the notice to which they were entitled under Rule 23(e), F.R.Civ.P., before any binding compromise of their claims could be approved by the court.

The court finds as a fact that the settlement agreement executed on December 19, 2000, is fair and reasonable and constitutes a well conceived resolution of the controversy.

A separate judgment approving and incorporating the settlement agreement will be entered.

DONE this 20<sup>th</sup> day of December, 2000.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "William M. Ackers, Jr.", written over a horizontal line.

WILLIAM M. ACKER, JR.  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE